

SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ON PROGRAM REVIEW

MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING

Wednesday, May 18, 2022

3:00 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.

MS Teams Online

Voting Member Quorum 8 members		
Aimee Begalka Allison Richardson Courtney Verhage David Burns Fergal Callaghan (Vice-Chair) Julia Denker Lindsay Norris	Marti Alger Nishan Perera Shelley Boyd Tomasz Gradowski Xavier Ardez	
		Non-voting Amy Jeon (Chair) Steve Cardwell Melike Kinik-Dicleli
Regrets	Senate Office	Guests
Melissa Swanink Stephen Yezerinac Lori McElroy Leeann Waddington Diane Purvey	Ruby Gupta	Andhra Goundrey Heather Clark Colin Greg Millard Wade Deisman

1. Territorial Acknowledgement and Call to Order

Amy Jeon, the Chair, opened the meeting with a Territorial Acknowledgement and called the meeting to order at 3:00 p.m. The Chair informed the committee about the new Indigenous collection and the display of the red dress in the KPU Surrey library and encouraged the members to visit.

2. Approval of Agenda

David Burns moved the agenda be confirmed as presented.

The motion carried.

3. Approval of Minutes April 13, 2022

Tomasz Gradowski moved the minutes be accepted as circulated.

The motion carried.

4. Chair's Report

The Chair informed the committee about the approval of Mandate and Membership revision at last month Senate and two new vacancies from Faculty of Arts and Melville School of Business. The members were asked to encourage interested faculty members to serve on the committee, especially from the respective Faculties. The June SSCPR meeting start time was changed to 4:30 pm to accommodate the convocation schedules.

5. New Business

5.1. Sustainable Agriculture External Review Report

The Chair summarized the SSCPR reviewers' comments and stated that the report was comprehensive and was recommended for approval as is.

Julia Denker moved that the Senate Standing Committee on Program Review accept the Sustainable Agriculture External Review Report as attached.

The motion carried.

5.2. New BSN Second Annual Follow Up Report

The Chair summarized the SSCPR reviewers' comments and highlighted that the reviewers have commended tremendous and notable progress that has been made in the last two years despite the pandemic and unfilled Curriculum Chair position. Courtney Verhage was invited to provide background and to answer questions.

Courtney Verhage shared the ongoing conversations regarding the vacant Curriculum Chair position. The committee recommended to revise the report to include specific dates and details for tracking purposes. The proponent agreed to make the changes as recommended by the committee.

Marti Alger moved that the Senate Standing Committee on Program Review accept the New BSN Second Annual Follow-Up Report as attached.

David Burns moved to postpone the motion until the next meeting.

The motion is postponed.

5.3. Fashion and Technology Quality Assurance Plan

The Chair summarized the SSCPR reviewers' comments and stated that the report was thoughtful and detailed in developing a comprehensive plan. Heather Clark and Andhra Goundrey were invited to provide background and to answer questions.

The suggestions regarding the internal challenges, costuming, building industry partnerships, and language clarifications were all addressed in the revision, including the minor edits. It was noted that consideration may be given to contingency planning in order to achieve all of the goals.

Action item:

Pg 13. Revise and clarify "work to better streamline projects and deliverables."

Fergal Callaghan moved that the Senate Standing Committee on Program Review accept the Fashion and Technology Program Quality Assurance Plan as attached.

The motion carried.

5.4. Philosophy First Annual Follow-Up Report

The Chair summarized the SSCPR reviewers' comments and informed that the report was clear and concise, but some items may appear to be out of the scope of the program review. The suggested actions involved marketing support, missing goals and strategies, course offerings and curricular development, updating date of completion. Colin Ruloff and Wade Deisman were invited to provide background and to answer questions.

The committee inquired about pre-requisites for the proposed Philosophy of Science course and indicated that better access for students would be appreciated.

Colin Ruloff stated that "in progress" in the submitted report reflects that some initial steps have been taken.

Action item:

Revise the term 'program advisory team' throughout the report.

Tomasz Gradowski moved that the Senate Standing Committee on Program Review accept the Philosophy First Annual Follow-Up Report with the suggested revision as removal of Program Advisory team.

The motion carried.

David Burns moved THAT another Annual Follow-Up Report be provided to the committee.

The motion carried.

6. Items for Discussion

7. Manager's Report

The committee received the written report.

8. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 4:01 p.m.